FAX NO. 8602860115

1 8 2005

ZOLIMAN ET AL.	Examinor	Group Art Unit	1
D2/15/2003	D. P. NGUYEN		-
STRUCTURE FOR FORM	RECT AVAILAL		
	DEVI AVAILA	DEE COPY	
	Dequiremen	ols	
illed to the United States Pa	Cospanixe to Restriction Requirement (Identify type of correspondence) Itent and Tradamark Office (Fax. I	Vo. 703-872 9306	
8, 2005			
	- Typed or Printed Namy of Per	Walsh conficus	
	Jersica (IN)	ino	-
	must liave its own certificate of mailing	\$	
Note: man balve			
	Filing Date 12/15/2003 ETRECTURE FOR FORM It iffed to the United States Pa 8, 2005	Filing Date 12/15/2003 D. P. NGUYEN D. STRUCTURE FOR FORMING PRECISION MEM FUSIBLE BEST AVAILA Esquave to Restriction Requirement (Intends into a) correspondence) illed to the United States Patent and Tradestiank Office (Fax.) 1, 2005 1, 2005 1, 2005 1, 2006 1, 2007 1, 2007 1, 2008 1, 2008 1, 2009 1,	Filing Date 12/15/2003 D. P. NGUYEN 2814 D. STRUCTURE FOR FORMING PRECISION MIM FUSIBLE CIRCUIT ELEMENTS BEST AVAILABLE COPY Resuming to Restriction Requirements (thendife upon of correspondence) iffed to tine United States Patent and Tradestrank Office (Fax. No. 703-872-9306) 8, 2005 Jessica L. Walsh (Typed or Princed Name of Ferran Signing Completes)

P. 02

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 1 8 2005

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Steven H. Voldman et al.) Group Art Unit: 2814	
Scrial No.:	10/707,449)	
Filed:	December 15, 2003) Examiner:) D. P. Nguyen	
For:	METHOD AND STRUCTURE FOR FORMING PRECISION MIM PUSIBLE CIRCUIT ELEMENTS) Confirmation No.: 144	

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Rox 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the restriction requirement mailed on October 29, 2004, and in accordance with the provisions under 37 CFR §4.115 and 1.143, the Applicants submit the following election for further prosecution on the merits:

p (40001-b) proposition US2

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Election:

Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, the species characterized by the Examiner as Embodiment 3, Figures 4-5. Embodiment 3, as characterized by the Examiner, includes generic claims 1-3, as well as claims 4-6.

CEST AVAILABLE COPY

REMARKS

The requirements for restriction being the only issue raised in the present Office Action, this paper is fully responsive to the same. The Applicants respectfully traverse the present restriction requirement for the reason that the Examiner has not provided the reasons to why the species described herein are either independent or distinct, other than the mere statement of conclusion in paragraph 1 of the Office Action. Section 808 of the MPEP-provides the following:

MPIP 808 Reasons for Insisting Upon Restriction
"Every requirement to restrict has two aspects: (A) the reasons (as distinguished from the more statement of conclusion) why the inventions as claimed are either independent or distinct; and (B) the reasons for insisting upon restriction therebetween as set forth in the following sections."

Accordingly, because no such reasons for the present restriction requirement have been given, the Applicants respectfully request examination of each of claims 1-9 as previously elected. The Applicants hereby reserve the right to file a divisional application for any non-elected subject matter in this application.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

No new matter has been entered and no additional fees are believed to be required. However, if any fees are due with respect to this Response, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 09-0456 maintained by the applicants' assignee.

Respectfully submitted, Cantor Colburn LLP

Sean F. Sullivan

Registration No. 38,328 CANTOR COLBURN LLP 55 Griffin Road South

Bloomfield, CT 06002 Telephone (860) 286-2929

Facsimile (860) 286-0115

Customer No. 46428

Date:

February 18, 2005